

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/832,863		04/12/2001	Arun Ahuja	C1T10219-US	CITI0219-US 1395	
27510	7590	09/28/2005		EXAMINER		
		CKTON LLP		PATEL, ASHO	OKKUMAR B	
607 14TH S' WASHING	,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2154		
	,	2000				

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, n *								
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/832,863	AHUJA ET AL.						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Ashok B. Patel	2154						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 08 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the Advantage of the Advant		. Simal vaination, which are	aria latar In ma					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li> </ul>		timely filed amendm	ent canceling					
the non-allowable claim(s).	morable in subtimited in a separate	, timely mod dinonan	icin cancomig					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:					
See continuation sheet	(DTO/SD/00 DTO 4440) D-	No/o)						
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(P10/58/06 or P10-1449) Paper	IVO(S)						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

SURFALSCRY PTOLT EXAMINER

\*\*TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Advisory Action Before

Continuation sheet.

#### Claim 1:

## Applicant's argument:

"Accordingly, the software 51 provides enhanced routines to subscribers only. This software does not perform the claimed functions. Rajan does not anticipate the language of independent claim 1 or claims 2- 5 which are dependent thereon."

## Examiner's response:

As Applicant indicated "the software 51 provides enhanced routines to subscribers only.", that is exactly what Rajan is teaching in col. 5, line 6-14. Thus Rajan is "determining a status of the customer as a member customer or a non-member customer of an institution providing the notification system.", and thus as stated in col. 3, line 44-53, Rajan is "prompting the customer to select at least one requested event wherein a member customer's provided with more event choices than a non-member customer."

#### Claim 7:

## Applicant's argument:

"As discussed above with respect to independent claim 1, Rajan makes no distinction between member and non-member subscribers. Accordingly, Rajan does not disclose means for determining a subscriber's status as member or non-member nor does Rajan disclose means prompting a subscriber to select at least on requested event wherein member and non-member subscribers are presented with different event options depending on their status."

# Examiner's response:

As Applicant indicated " the software 51 provides enhanced routines to subscribers only.", that is exactly what Rajan is teaching in col. 5, line 6-14. Thus Rajan discloses means for determining a subscriber's status as member or non-member and means for prompting a subscriber to select at least on requested event wherein member and non-member subscribers are presented with different event options depending on their status.

## Claim 8:

## Applicant's argument:

"This statement in Rajan merely teaches that information is collected from external sources, not internal sources."

#### Examiner's response:

Claim 8 recites "A system for notifying a customer" which is taught by Rajan as stated above in the response for claim 1, and please refer to Fig. 4, col. 14, line 19-54 for "a database containing financial information wherein the financial information is collected from at least one internal source and all least one external source." in conjunction with col. 15, line 62-col. 16, line 7 for generating notification report for selected period of time.

# Claims 12, 15 and 16:

## Applicant's argument:

"With respect to dependent claim 12, Rajan does not describe an internal data source and thus does not describe an internal data source that is the Financial institution hosting the subscription service.

"With respect to dependent claims 15 and 16, as discussed previously, Rajan makes no distinction between member and non-member subscribers."

# Examiner's response:

Rajan at col.4, lines 35-42, Fig.1, teaches "FIG. 1 is a basic overview of a communication network 9 wherein a data aggregation and tunneling service is hosted and operated according to an embodiment of the present invention. Communication network 9 comprises a data packet network 11, which is the well known Internet in this example, an Internet Service Provider (ISP) 15 (with repository 31 as being the internal source of information), and at least one exemplary wireless data network 13." And by disclosing its use as stated in col.13, lines 44-63, it impliedly teaches its application to a financial institution. The implied teachings taught by the reference relevant of the claimed invention are of a paramount importance regardless of the location of the system.

Refer to response for claim 1 for responses with respect to claims 15 and 16.

For responses to Applicant's argument pertaining to claims 19 and 23, please refer to claims 1- 16 above.